

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/084,232 | | 02/28/2002 | Leslie Dort | A889577US | 7803 | |
| 23971 | 7590 | 10/16/2006 | • | EXAMI | EXAMINER | |
| BENNETT JONES C/O MS ROSEANN CALDWELL 4500 BANKERS HALL EAST | | | | BROWN, MICHAEL A | | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 855 - 2ND STREET, SW | | | | 3772 | | |
| CALGARY, AB T2P 4K7 CANADA | | | | DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/084,232 | DORT, LESLIE | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Michael Brown | 3772 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | · · | | | | | | |
| · | dv 2006 | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ju</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | econtion on to the morite in | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-30.32-39.44 and 45 is/are pending i | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-30,32-39,44 and 45</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16,32-39,44 and 45</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-30</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | | |
| 5, <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | • | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a) | n-(d) or (f) | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | , , | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | • | ou in this National Stage | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ' ' ' | ad. | | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies flot receive | su. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | · | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7-28-06</u> . | 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-18, 23-24, 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bedi.

Bedi discloses in figures 1-2 a wedge that anticipates a device for retaining a tongue comprising a bulb 1 having a closed end (fig. 2) and an open end (fig. 2) a single flange (2a, 2b), extending outward from the open end (fig. 4), the single flange and the bulb are an integrally molded one-piece body, a vacuum may be formed in the bulb, the bulb provides a hollow chamber. Note the bulb is capable of receiving a small tongue therein. The flange is pliable (flexible) and adjustable (can be moved about in the mouth)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bedi.

Art Unit: 3772

Bedi discloses in figures 1-2 and 4 a wedge that anticipates a device for retaining a tongue, substantially as claimed. However, Bedi doesn't disclose the body being injection molded, the thickness of the material being the same as recited in claims 20-21, the thickness being constant or the body being made of urethane. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the device disclosed by Bedi could be fabricated through injection molding because it is made of plastic, made with the material having the same thickness as recited in claims 20-21, that thickness could be constant and the material could be urethane. The thickness of the material is a design choice as well as the thickness being constant. It is old and well known to use injection molding to form a mouthpiece. The urethane material could be substituted for the plastic because both materials are flexible.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-16, 32-39 and 44-45 allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gobin discloses a mouthpiece device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-4972. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 3772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on 571-272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M. Brown October 13, 2006

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael G. Bro